

Faculty Performance Appraisal Assessment Report

IEMR-Civil

Dr. D.Y. Patil Educational Complex Akurdi,

Faculty Performance Appraisal Assessment Report

Period: January 2021 to December 2021

Academic Period: 2020-2021- Semester II, Academic Period: 2021-2022- Semester I

Institute: DYPIEMR

Department: Civil

Sr. No	Name of the Faculty	Current Appraisal Score (in %)		Grades		Remarks
		Part A	Part B	Part A	Part B	
1.	Ms. Priyanka Jawale	76.88%	36.08%	Very Good	Poor	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Need improvement in TG activity.• Good involvement in various Dept & Institute level activities.• Should take efforts for publications

Faculty Performance Appraisal Assessment Report

IEMR-Civil

Sr. No	Name of the Faculty	Current Appraisal Score (in %)		Grades		Remarks
		Part A	Part B	Part A	Part B	
2.	Ms Kavita Bhurke	68.88%	22.6%	Good	Poor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ned improvement in TG activity • Should take part in department and institute level activities • Should take efforts for publication • Taken efforts for improving skills through participation in valued-added courses.
3.	Mr. Amol More	97.18%	36.95%	Excellent	Poor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good efforts for valued-added courses • Need improvement in TG activity and documentation • Should efforts for quality publication
4.	Mr. Shubham Chandqude	84.44%	59.66%	Very Good	Good	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Newly joined faculty • Good contribution in various activities and also good efforts for improving skills through value-added courses

Faculty Performance Appraisal Assessment Report

IEMR-Civil

Sr. No	Name of the Faculty	Current Appraisal Score (in %)		Grades		Remarks
		Part A	Part B	Part A	Part B	
5.	Mr. Sainand Khot	-	-	-	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Worked as TPO during 2020-to 2021.Blank Appraisal form submitted hence no grade.
6.	Ms A P. Kulkarni	98.75%	45.65%	Excellent	Satisfactory with Warning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good connections established with industry. • Good efforts for updating student skills, for lasted software (BIM) • As a HOD need to take efforts for improving TG activities.
7.	Mr. Pravin Gorde	82.66%	31.30%	Very Good	Poor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • God efforts for value-added courses. • Doing Doctor in search • Good quality publication • Need improvement in TG activities.

Faculty Performance Appraisal Assessment Report

IEMR-Civil

Sr. No	Name of the Faculty	Current Appraisal Score (in %)		Grades		Remarks
		Part A	Part B	Part A	Part B	
8.	Mr. Rohit Deshmukh	78.66%	34.78%	Very Good	Poor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good participation in various activities • Need improvement in TG activities. • Should take efforts for publication and also for publication in value-added courses.
9.	Mr. Rohit Shinde	83.55%	36.95%	Very Good	Poor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good participation in various activities. • Need improvement in TG activities. • Should take efforts for publication

Faculty Performance Appraisal Assessment Report

IEMR-Civil

Sr. No	Name of the Faculty	Current Appraisal Score (in %)		Grades		Remarks
		Part A	Part B	Part A	Part B	
10.	Mr. Shivkumar Hallale	82.5%	33.47%	Very Good	Poor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need improvement in documentation. • TG batch was not assigned • Should take initiative for department and institute level activities • Take efforts for improving skills and through value-added services.
11.	Dr. Atul R. Kolhe	76.66%	40.81%	Good	Satisfactory with Warning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good participation and contribution in value-added courses. • Should take effort for publications. • Need improvement in TG activities.

Faculty Performance Appraisal Assessment Report

IEMR-Civil

Sr. No	Name of the Faculty	Current Appraisal Score (in %)		Grades		Remarks
		Part A	Part B	Part A	Part B	
12.	Ms. Tejoshri Gulve	79.5%	53.47%	Good	Good	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Good effort for improvising skills Need improvement in TG activities. Efforts are taken to improvising educational qualifications. Should take effort for quality publication
13.	Ms. Vaishnavi Battul	81.77%	40%	Very Good	Satisfactory with Warning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Satisfactory participation in department and institute level activities. Need improvement in T.G. and participation in value added courses. Should take effort R and D activity and quality publications.
14.	Ms. Ankita Kamire	65.38%	34.78%	Satisfactory with warning	Poor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Newly joined faculty. Overall good efforts are taken in teaching and publication. Need grooming for TG activities.

Faculty Performance Appraisal Assessment Report

IEMR-Civil

Sr. No	Name of the Faculty	Current Appraisal Score (in %)		Grades		Remarks
		Part A	Part B	Part A	Part B	
15.	Mr. Shree D. Kamble	92.88%	29.56%	Excellent	Poor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Needs improvement in TG activities. Should take effort for publication and for participation in value-added courses.

Average:

Part A:

Part B:

Observations:

1. SCI, publication etc. needs to be improved upon,
2. Departmental documentation needs to be updated and maintained in prim and proper format
3. TG work need to be improved upon
4. Very good efforts taken for student internships and training.
5. The faculty is demoralized.